

ACTION LIST from Area East Committee – 10 January 2018

Item	Action Requested	Update/Outcome
1	<p>Issues with Mudford car park. Enforcement requested by Parish but concern about impact. Possible asset transfer.</p> <p>AE Lead to follow up with Parish Council.</p>	<p>Ian Case (SSDC Property and Engineering) is planning to meet on site to discuss the future management and ownership of the Car Park.</p>
2	<p>Redlynch Park – residents concerned that English Heritage have classified it as ‘at risk’ – request briefing from Heritage staff in Planning.</p> <p>Communities Lead to follow up with Planning. HR emailed Andrew Tucker – would you be able to say what the issue is and the implications please?</p>	<p>Alex Parmley sent a letter in response to Lt. Col. Strong’s letter of enquiry, which has been copied to Cllr Anna Groskop (copy available on request)</p> <p>A verbal update will be given at the next meeting in February with the report</p>
3	<p>Anthrax situation at Mudford – Communities Lead explained that this matter is being fully considered by Planning Case Officers as part of the application.</p> <p>Communities Lead to request that Planning Lead Specialist brief Members on the methodology due to the level of local concern (email sent to SF 10/1)</p>	<p>10/1 Simon Fox’s email response: The application is pending and so there will be ample opportunity when we get to Area/Regulation Committee. To isolate one issue related to any application and undertake a briefing is without precedent.</p>
4	<p>Request that John Nicholson comes back with clarification around the following 2 issues:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Why are SIDs no longer available to Parishes as this provides evidence for traffic calming? 2) Parishes are experiencing exceptional difficulties in getting TROs for speed restriction areas eg: Chilton Cantelo, Yeovilton – what is the reason for this and how can speed restrictions be progressed? <p>Kelly Wheeler to relay questions to John Nicholson for response or for briefing by relevant Highways’ Officers</p>	<p>24/01 KW circulated response from John Nicholson and advised members that further questions in relation to these issues could be raised at the February meeting.</p>
5	<p>Appeals – too many appeals are going against SSDC and this will be investigated, in depth, to understand the reasons and solution.</p> <p>Planning to work with the 4 Area Chairs and PFH to review and come back with recommendations in due course</p>	<p>Work is ongoing.</p>